



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

70
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,062	10/19/2001	David Rizzieri	5405-252	5735

20792 7590 10/28/2005

MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC
PO BOX 37428
RALEIGH, NC 27627

EXAMINER

HARRIS, ALANA M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1643

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/008,062	RIZZIERI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

(3) David Rizzieri.

(2) Shawna C. Lemon.

(4) Darell Bigner and (5) Michael Zalutsky.

Date of Interview: 25 October 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-6 and 8-22.


Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent number 5,624,659 (04/29/97, IDS reference) and Rizzieri et al. (Blood 94(10), Part 2, Supplement 1: 4339, Abstract #4339, November 1999, IDS reference).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
10/25/2005

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: All participants discussed the outstanding rejections, 11s, 1st and 103. Applicants described their claimed invention noting there was intravenous administration of the monoclonal antibody (mAb) coupled to the 131-I isotope and surprisingly the said radioisotope retained its biological activity and therapeutic effectiveness for a prolonged period of time. The Exr. expressed to Applicants as claims currently read the instant rejections would still be applicable and any changes to the claims should be fully supported by the specification. Also noteworthy was the Exr.'s contention that the said administration did not impart novelty or non-obviousness to the claims and the results are not considered unexpected in lieu of the motivation provided in both references, i.e. patent, column 4, lines 16-26 and Examples implementing 131-I conjugated to mAb 816C6, as well as Rizzieri abstract, last paragraph..